



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,048	05/03/2001	John E. McGunnigle	102088-0001	5582
24267	7590	02/02/2004	EXAMINER	
CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP 88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210			DAO, MINH D	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2682		

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/848,048	MCGUNNIGLE, JOHN E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MINH D DAO	2682	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 3 and 10 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1,2,4-9,11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Henry (US Patent 5,590,396).

Regarding claim 1, Henry teaches a microwave communication network that overlays a public switched telephone network comprising (See figure 1; also see col. 3, lines 59-67 and col. 4, lines 1-19):

a plurality of microwave transceivers (Figure 1, item 108A, 108B; In addition, items 108A and 108B each inherently includes a transceiver in order transmit and receive information) forming a microwave network (Figure 1, items 107,110, 113, 114 108A, 108) which overlays the public switched telephone network (Figure 1, items 105), the transceivers being geographically located so as to provide a wireless interoffice facility (IOF) between two or more central offices, tandem switches or other premises controlled by an incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC) (Figure 1, items 107 via microwave link 108).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 2, Henry teaches that the microwave communication network as in claim 1 wherein one or more of the microwave transceivers is located proximate to one or more of the central offices, tandem switches or other premises (See figure 1, the link between items 108A(microwave facility) and 107(MTSO)).

Regarding claim 4, Henry teaches that the microwave communication network as in claim 1 wherein the wireless IOF provides redundancy to the public switched telephone network (Figure 1, links 117 and 108; col. 4, lines 8-12).

Regarding claim 5, Henry also inherently teaches that the microwave communication network as in claim 1 wherein the wireless IOF provides bandwidth at a lower cost than the public switched telephone network. It is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that the cost of providing wireless service in general is less than the cost to build up a wireline network.

Regarding claim 6, the claim is interpreted the same as claim 5, therefore is rejected for the same reason set forth in claim 5.

Regarding claim 7, Henry teaches a method of providing wireless bandwidth in a microwave network (figure 1, items 107,110,114,106,108A, 108) which overlays a public switched telephone network (figure 1, items 105) comprising the steps of (See figure 1,

Art Unit: 2682

and it is also well known in the art that the microwave link 108 should operate within the FCC allocated wireless bandwidth):

- (1) forming a microwave network from a plurality of microwave transceivers (Figure 1, item 108A, 108B; In addition, items 108A and 108B each inherently includes a transceiver in order transmit and receive information); the microwave network overlaying the public switched telephone network (See figure 1; also see col. 3, lines 59-67 and col. 4, lines 1-19);
- (2) geographically arranging the transceivers so as to provide wireless interoffice facility (1017) between two or more central offices, tandem switches or other premises controlled by an incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC) (Figure 1, items 107 via microwave link 108).

Regarding claim 8, Henry teaches a microwave communication network that overlays a public switched telephone network comprising (See figure 1; also see col. 3, lines 59-67 and col. 4, lines 1-19): a plurality of microwave transceivers (Figure 1, item 108A, 108B; In addition, items 108A and 108B each inherently includes a transceiver in order transmit and receive information) forming a microwave network (Figure 1, items 107, 110, 113, 114 108A, 108) which overlays the public switched telephone network (Figure 1, items 105), the transceivers being geographically located to provide a wireless interoffice facility (IOF) between one or more central offices, tandem switches or other premises controlled by an incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC) (Figure 1, items 107 via microwave link 108) and one or more central offices, tandem switches or

Art Unit: 2682

other premises controlled a common carrier other than the (ILEC) (Figure 1, items 103,102).

Regarding claim 9, Henry teaches that the microwave communication network as in claim 8 wherein one or more of the microwave transceivers is located proximate to one or more of the central offices, tandem switches or other premises (See figure 1, the link between items 108A(microwave facility) and 107(MTSO)).

Regarding claim 11, Henry teaches that the microwave communication network as in claim 8 wherein the wireless IOF provides redundancy to the public switched telephone network (Figure 1, links 117 and 108; col. 4, lines 8-12).

Regarding claim 12, Henry also inherently teaches that the microwave communication network as in claim 8 wherein the wireless IOF provides bandwidth at a lower cost than the public switched telephone network. It is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that the cost of providing wireless service in general is less than the cost to build up a wireline network.

Regarding claim 13, the claim is interpreted the same as claim 12, therefore is rejected for the same reason set forth in claim 12.

Regarding claim 14, Henry teaches a method of providing wireless bandwidth in a microwave network (figure 1, items 107,110,114,106,108A,108) which overlays a public switched telephone network (figure 1, item 105) comprising the steps of (See figure 1, and it is also well known in the art that the microwave link 108 should operate within the FCC allocated wireless bandwidth):

- (1) forming a microwave network from a plurality of microwave transceivers (Figure 1, item 108A, 108B; In addition, items 108A and 108B each inherently includes a transceiver in order transmit and receive information); the microwave network overlaying the public switched telephone network (See figure 1; also see col. 3, lines 59-67 and col. 4, lines 1-19);
- (2) geographically arranging the transceivers so as to provide wireless interoffice facility (1017) between two or more central offices, tandem switches or other premises controlled by an incumbent local a change carrier (ILEC) (Figure 1, items 107 via microwave link 108) and one or more central offices, tandem switches or other premise controlled by a common carrier other than the ILEC (figure 1, items 103,102).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 3 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 3, Henry (US Patent 5,590,396) teaches the limitations set forth in claim 1. However, Henry fails to teach that the ILEC provides insufficient wireline bandwidth between two or more of the central offices, tandem switches or other premises, and the microwave network provides wireless bandwidth as an alternative communication path.

Regarding claim 10, Henry (US Patent 5,590,396) teaches the limitations set forth in claim 8. However, Henry fails to teach that the ILEC provides insufficient wireline bandwidth between two or more of the central offices, tandem switches or other premises, and the microwave network provides wireless bandwidth as an alternative communication path.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Berlinsky (US Patent 6,633,743) discloses Remote Wireless Communication Device.
- b. Yu et al. (US 2004/0005891) discloses Intergraded Wireless/Wireline Registration.

Art Unit: 2682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D DAO whose telephone number is 703-305-5589. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, VIVIAN C CHIN can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-9508.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.



VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Minh Dao
Examiner
Art Unit 2682
January 22, 2004 

1/26/04